Gender-based differences of abdominal adipose tissue distribution in non-small cell lung cancer patients
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Background: Lung cancer is a malignant tumor with high lethality, responsible for about 28% of all cancer deaths. In this study, using a quantitative CT imaging-based approach, we aimed to investigate the differences of abdominal adipose tissue distribution in patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) according to gender.

Methods: A group of 66 patients with NSCLC at first diagnosis [male: 45, mean age: 67.3 years (range, 51.0–88.0 years); female: 21, mean age: 62.4 years (range, 44.0–84.0 years)] was included. As a control group, 70 patients without history of malignancies who have undergone a chest-abdomen CT for pre-operative cardiovascular surgery planning [male: 42, mean age: 62.7 years (range, 40.0–83.0 years); female: 28, mean age: 66.4 years (range, 39.0–83.0 years)] was included. We calculated total adipose area (TAA), visceral adipose area (VAA) and subcutaneous adipose area (SAA) using OsiriX to analyze cross-sectional CT images. The differences were analyzed using the Student’s t-test (P<0.05). The Pearson’s correlation coefficient (r) and the significance of the simple regressions (ρ) were calculated.

Results: In the female group, the visceral/subcutaneous (V/S) ratio was higher in the control group than in patients. Conversely, in the male group we found a higher V/S ratio in patients then controls. Considering male and female together, the age parameter showed a significant positive correlation with the amount of visceral (r=0.390; P=0.001) and total (r=0.270; P=0.030) fat in the patients. Dividing the groups between male and female, the age parameter showed a significant positive correlation with the amount of visceral fat in the female patients (r=0.440; P=0.049), visceral fat in the male patients (r=0.320; P=0.030), subcutaneous fat in the female patients (r=0.480; P=0.030) and total fat in the female patients (r=0.490; P=0.020).

Conclusions: The results of this study are in line with the concept of differential gender-based relationships among abdominal fat distribution, age and NSCLC.
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Introduction
Lung cancer is a malignant tumor with high lethality, responsible for about 28% of all cancer deaths (1). Its etiology integrates a variety of environmental stimuli and factors; in particular, the association between smoking and lung cancer is clear (2-5). Today, scientific interest is geared towards other potential risk factors, including occupational, lifestyle, dietary and even reproductive covariates (6-8). Specifically, concerning the role of obesity, white adipose tissue (WAT) acts as an endocrine organ, active contributor to body homeostasis rather than just being a fat deposit, including a role in immunity and inflammation (9,10). It has been reported that the prevalence and the mortality of several types of cancer, such as breast, endometrium, colorectal and prostate cancer, increase with obesity (11-13). The precise mechanism that explains how obesity promotes these diseases is still unclear; however, recent studies suggest that the accumulation of VAT in the abdominal cavity [i.e., visceral adipose tissue (VAT)] may play a key role in this relationship (14). VAT display differences in anatomic, cellular and molecular compositions, showing higher hormonal and metabolic activities than subcutaneous fat tissue (SAT) (15,16). VAT distribution in men and women is determined by both sex steroids, androgens and estrogens, with a predominance of androgen action, that increase during menopause and in women with central obesity (17-20). In particular, visceral adipocyte-secreted growth factors, proinflammatory cytokines, and adipokines, are mediating factors associated with the carcinogenesis of obesity-related tumours (21). Studies have showed how VAT, with the emerging carcinogenic properties of adipokines, increase colorectal cancer risk (14,22-24).

Regarding lung cancer, it is not considered to be mainly an obesity related cancer and some authors also reported that obesity decreased lung cancer mortality independently of smoking status and might have a potential favorable effect on lung cancer survival (25-28). However, some recent studies have underlined an adipokines’ functional role in lung cancer development and progression, especially for non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) (29). According to the literature, the adipokines levels might provide diagnostic and prognostic information for lung cancer, making them potential mediators of the complex and still unclear multistep lung carcinogenesis (29,30).

To the best of our knowledge, the distribution of VAT and SAT has never been explored in detail in a selective group of patients with NSCLC. In this study, using a quantitative CT imaging-based approach, we aimed to investigate the differences of abdominal adipose tissue distribution in patients with NSCLC according to gender.

Methods
Patients
In this retrospective study we included two groups, the patients group and the control group. All of the subjects enrolled underwent a CT examination in our institution between April 2006 and April 2016. In the patients group, a total of 66 patients with NSCLC at first diagnosis were included [male: 45, mean age: 67.3 years (range, 51.0–88.0 years); female: 21, mean age: 62.4 years (range, 44.0–84.0 years)]. All the patients received a biopsy and a histologically confirmed diagnosis of NSCLC (male: 33 adenocarcinomas, 8 squamous cell carcinomas and 4 NSCLCs; female: 19 adenocarcinomas, 1 squamous cell carcinomas and 1 NSCLCs). For the patients with NSCLC all CT images were acquired for disease staging at the first diagnosis, prior to any medical or surgical treatment for the oncologic disease.

Owing to the fact that the abdominal CT is usually not performed in healthy subjects, as a control group we included 70 patients who have undergone a chest-abdomen CT for pre-operative cardiovascular surgery planning [male: 42, mean age: 62.7 years (range, 40.0–83.0 years); female: 28, mean age: 66.4 years (range, 39.0–83.0 years)]. The specific type of cardiac surgery that the patients received was as follows: 28 mitral valve replacement, 22 aortic valve replacement, 2 mitral and tricuspid valve replacement, 1 mitral and aortic valve replacement, 2 left atrial myxoma resection, 1 right atrial myxoma resection, 4 combined coronary artery bypass and mitral valve replacement, 2 combined coronary artery bypass and aortic valve replacement, 1 combined coronary artery bypass with mitral and tricuspid valve replacement, 5 aortic valve and ascending aorta replacement, 1 carotid endarterectomy and 1 ostium secundum atrial septal defect repair. None of the patients included in the control group had a history of malignancies.

The study was approved by the local ethical committee and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

CT analysis
CT images were acquired using the clinical scanner
In order to calculate TAA, VAA and SAA, OsiriX MD v.2.6 was used to analyze cross-sectional CT images. All measurements were obtained as area (cm$^2$), on the axial plane at the level of L3 (Figure 1), following a similar approach used elsewhere (31). First, region of interest (ROIs) of TAA were segmented and calculated for each subject using a function of OsirX MD v.2.6. Then, ROIs of SAA were generated and calculated by removing ROIs of VAA from those of TAA. Finally, values of VAA (cm$^2$) were calculated for each patient by subtracting values of SAA from those of TAA.

All segmentations were visually inspected by two experienced radiologists by consensus, to improve the accuracy of the measurements.

### Statistical analysis

Simple regression analysis was carried out to estimate the correlation between age and the following parameters: VFCF, VFPF, VFCM, VFPM, SFCF, SFPF, SFCM, SFPM, TFCF, TFPF, TFCM, and TFPM (see glossary of terms, Table 1). The Pearson’s correlation coefficient ($r$) and the significance of the simple regressions ($\rho$) were calculated.

Furthermore, the values of the above-mentioned parameters were compared between patients and control group. The differences were analyzed using the Student's $t$-test ($P<0.05$).

All the statistics were developed in MATLAB® (MathWorks, Inc., Natick, Massachusetts, USA) environment.

### Results

#### Comparison of the parameters of interest between control and patients

Descriptive statistics which included mean and standard deviation (SD) were obtained for each group by comparing controls and patients, both considering male and female together (Table 2) and separately (Table 3).

The differences between patients and control groups of visceral, subcutaneous and total fat were not significant.
(Tables 2, 3). Considering male and female subjects together, the amount of visceral fat was similar between patients and controls; moreover, the controls showed higher values of subcutaneous and consequently total abdominal fat.

With male and female subjects separately, data show that the mean value of the visceral fat was lower in patients than in control group in female, on the other hand the subcutaneous was higher in patients than controls. Regarding male group, the subcutaneous fat was lower in patients than in controls and visceral fat was slightly higher in patients than controls (Figure 2).

The ratio between visceral and subcutaneous fat (V/S) was also calculated for all groups and reported in Tables 2, 3.

Considering male and female together we found a slightly higher V/S ratio in patients than control group (Table 2). Considering male and female separately, in the female group, the V/S ratio was higher in the control group than in patients. Conversely, in the male group we found a higher V/S ratio in patients with respect to the controls (Table 3).

We also calculated the percentage changes ($\Delta\%$) of visceral, subcutaneous and total fat, as well as the change of the ratio between visceral and subcutaneous fat, as follows:

$$\Delta\% = \frac{X_P - X_C}{X_C} \times 100$$

where $X_P$ is the mean of the parameter of interest calculated on the patient's population, and $X_C$ is the mean of the parameter of interest calculated on the control. These values are reported in Table 4.

**Simple regression**

In Table 5, the Pearson's coefficient and the significance of the simple regression ($\rho$) between age and the parameters of interests (i.e., VFCF, VFPF, VFCM, VFPM, VFCpop, VFPpop, SFCF, SPF, SFCM, SFPM, SFCpop, SPFpop, TFCF, TFPF, TFCM, TFPM, TFCpop, and TFPpop) are reported.

In Figure 3, the plots of the two statistically significant regressions are reported considering male and female together. These two parameters (i.e., VFPpop and TFPpop) increased with age.

In Figure 4, the plots of the four statistically significant regressions are reported dividing the population between

---

**Table 2** Mean, standard deviation and significance of the parameters of interest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables, mean (SD)</th>
<th>Male and female together</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control (N=70)</td>
<td>Patients (N=66)</td>
<td>P value (&lt;0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>64.2 (11.5)</td>
<td>65.7 (10.7)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visceral fat (cm$^3$)</td>
<td>157.5 (92.2)</td>
<td>157.5 (103.1)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcutaneous fat (cm$^3$)</td>
<td>180.8 (80.1)</td>
<td>172.3 (99.4)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fat (cm$^3$)</td>
<td>338.3 (145.1)</td>
<td>329.8 (165.5)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V/S</td>
<td>0.93 (0.56)</td>
<td>1.05 (0.73)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V/S, visceral/subcutaneous; SD, standard deviation.

**Table 3** Mean, standard deviation and significance of the parameters of interest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable, mean (SD)</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control (N=42)</td>
<td>Patients (N=45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>62.7 (12.2)</td>
<td>67.3 (10.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visceral fat (cm$^3$)</td>
<td>178.1 (88.5)</td>
<td>181.2 (103.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcutaneous fat (cm$^3$)</td>
<td>166.8 (65.3)</td>
<td>150.0 (73.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fat (cm$^3$)</td>
<td>344.9 (129.3)</td>
<td>331.2 (150.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V/S</td>
<td>1.13 (0.572)</td>
<td>1.32 (0.714)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V/S, visceral/subcutaneous; SD, standard deviation.
male and female. These four parameters (i.e., VFPF, VFPM, SFPF, and TFPF) increased with age.

Discussion

In this study, we have assessed the distribution of abdominal adipose tissue in patients with NSCLC. With male and female subjects together, we found a similar amount of VAT in patients and controls, conversely, SAT and total abdominal adipose tissue were increased in control group. These differences, although not statistically significant, suggest a preservation of VAT in patients with respect to the amount of subcutaneous and total abdominal fat tissue. Indeed, we found a slightly higher V/S ratio in patients than control.

According to the literature, an association between low body mass index (BMI) and lung cancer risk has been suggested (32). The measure of BMI, used in epidemiologic studies as a proxy measure of obesity, was found to be positively associated with increased risk of cancer in several sites including colon, breast in postmenopausal women, endometrium, kidney (renal cell), stomach, pancreas, gallbladder, liver, and possibly other cancers (33). Conversely, for lung cancer an inverse association with BMI was reported in several studies, suggesting that obesity might be a preventive factor for the development of lung cancer (34-42). However, data are controversial on this subject since some studies have failed to find such an association, in other the association disappeared when habits and health status were taken into account and a few studies reported a positive association between BMI and lung cancer incidence (25,26,43-46).

Our results suggest that the inverse association between lung cancer risk and BMI observed in several studies, as for the abdominal fat contribution to BMI, might be mainly

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable, mean (SD)</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male and female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Δ% total fat (%)</td>
<td>-3.98</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>-2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ% visceral fat (%)</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>-15.70</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ% subcutaneous fat (%)</td>
<td>-10.10</td>
<td>9.14</td>
<td>-4.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ% V/S (%)</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>-22.8</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V/S, visceral/subcutaneous; SD, standard deviation.

**Figure 2** Mean value of visceral, subcutaneous and total fat in both male and female the patients and control groups.
due to a reduction of the amount of subcutaneous with a preservation of visceral fat in patients.

Moreover, with separation of the groups between male and female, we found a different pattern of abdominal fat distributions according to gender. In the male group we found that the visceral fat was higher and the subcutaneous lower in patients than in controls group, conversely, in the female group the visceral fat was lower and the subcutaneous higher in patients than in controls group.

Many studies have reported gender differences in clinical presentation and the biology of lung cancer (47), elucidating major differences between men and women in development, physiology, predilection to and outcomes in lung diseases (48). Body fat mass in men and women is determined by both androgens and estrogens, which play important roles resulting in different patterns of total abdominal adipose tissue distribution (20). This gender-based distribution of fat is based on the assumption that women have a greater amount of peripherally located subcutaneous fat and, conversely, men show a greater amount of centrally located visceral fat (49).

In premenopausal women estrogens are produced through the conversion from androgens, which is catalyzed by aromatase enzymes, that are highly expressed in placenta and in granulose cells of ovarian follicles (50) and, at lower levels, in several nonglandular tissues including subcutaneous fat, liver, muscle, brain, normal breast and breast cancer tissue (51). In postmenopausal women, estrogen production is solely from nonglandular sources, in particular from subcutaneous fat with a peripheral conversion from androgens (52).

VAT has a predominance of androgen action over that of estrogen (17,18). Moreover, women's VAT mass increase when their estrogen concentrations decreased, and androgen concentrations increased or in case of an excess of androgens and androgens administration (53-55). In men VAT increase when androgen concentrations decrease (56).

Therefore, in our study, this different gender-based pattern of abdominal fat distribution might be related to a different hormone-linked status between men and female patients with NSCLC. Indeed, it is known that estrogen play an important role in initiating and promoting lung cancer (57).

Lastly, exploring the correlations, we found that visceral

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters (cm$^3$)</th>
<th>$r$</th>
<th>$p$ (≤0.05)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VFCF</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>0.280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFPF</td>
<td>0.440</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFCM</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>0.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFPM</td>
<td>0.320</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFCpop</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>0.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFPpop</td>
<td>0.390</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFCF</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFPF</td>
<td>0.480</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFCM</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>0.310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFPM</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>0.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFCpop</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFPpop</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFCF</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0.540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFPF</td>
<td>0.490</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFCM</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFPM</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFCpop</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFPpop</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3 Plot of the two parameters showing significant regression analysis.
Fat increased with age in patients considering all the patients together as well as dividing the population between male and female. Total abdominal fat increased with age in all patients together and, only for female patients, subcutaneous and total abdominal fat increased with age.

It is known that body fat percentage increases during life, with peaks during the fifth and seventh decade of life and subsequently remain constant or decrease slightly (58,59). Aging may act on the adipose tissue function and determine a change of adipokines production from adipocytes by regulating pre-adipocytes and adipose tissue infiltration by macrophages (60). However, several factors including nutrition, physical activity, menopausal status and diseases, might influence the abdominal fat distribution according to age.

The relationship between age and abdominal fat distribution has been poorly explored in NSCLC patients. A recent study on elderly patients reported that total adipose tissue and VAT were positively associated with cancer risk among women whereas no such an association was found among men (61). Total adipose tissue was positively associated with obesity-related cancer risk among women and VAT was positively associated with obesity-related cancer risk among men (61). The results of our study are in line with these findings and reinforce the concept of a differential gender-based relationships among abdominal fat distribution, age and cancer, specifically NSCLC. However, the biological basis of this complex relationship is not fully understood and deserve further investigations. Additional studies are needed to understand whether the abdominal fat distribution at first diagnosis might influence to the disease staging, response to treatment and prognosis in NSCLC. Moreover, it is unknown if the observed pattern of abdominal fat might be present in other histotypes of lung cancer such as small cell lung cancer.

Given the retrospective design of our study, as limitation of it should be considered that we could not obtain some clinical information of the enrolled patients such as BMI, hormonal status, pre- or post-menopausal status and smoking rates. Thus, it was not possible to include this factors in our analysis as covariates. Future studies taking in to account these factors will be beneficial in order to understand the impact of it, in any, on our results.

**Conclusions**

The results of this study are in line with the concept of differential gender-based relationships among abdominal fat distribution, age and NSCLC.
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