



Percutaneous dilational tracheostomy: current techniques and evidence of safety

Uzair K. Ghori, David M. Chambers

Division of Pulmonary & Critical Care, Louisiana State University-Shreveport, Shreveport, LA, USA

Contributions: (I) Conception and design: DM Chambers; (II) Administrative support: DM Chambers; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: All authors; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: All authors; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: All authors; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

Correspondence to: David M. Chambers, MD. Division of Pulmonary & Critical Care, Louisiana State University-Shreveport, 1501 Kings highway/ PO Box 33932, Shreveport, LA 71130-3932, USA. Email: dcham4@lsuhsc.edu.

Abstract: Tracheostomy has transitioned from being the complete domain of surgeons, as described by Chevalier Jackson in early 20th century, to a procedure shared by intensivists and interventional pulmonologists in form of percutaneous dilatational tracheostomy. This procedure is commonly performed in intensive care units to facilitate long-term mechanical ventilation for chronic respiratory failure, but other less frequent indications also exist. The commonly practiced techniques for percutaneous dilational tracheostomy rely on passage of tools over a guidewire, with the Ciaglia technique being the most popular and having the best safety profile. Adjunctive techniques include use of bronchoscopy, bedside ultrasonography, and laryngeal mask airway (LMA). In properly selected patients, percutaneous dilational tracheostomy carries a good safety profile, but some patient characteristics may increase the risk of complications; thus, the procedure is complimentary to surgical tracheostomy (ST) and not a complete replacement. Bedside ultrasound and bronchoscopy are routinely available instruments in most intensive care units, although there is limited evidence of improved safety with these adjuncts. In properly selected patients, percutaneous dilational tracheostomy can be a safe and cost-effective alternative to ST.

Keywords: Tracheostomy; percutaneous tracheostomy; chronic mechanical ventilation; airway management; critical illness

Received: 30 September 2019; Accepted: 19 November 2019; Published: 10 April 2020.

doi: 10.21037/shc.2019.11.13

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/shc.2019.11.13>

Introduction

References to tracheostomy can be found in literature dating back to the Egyptians. It is a technique which consists of creating an opening in the anterior wall of trachea. Jackson (1) is the first one accredited for describing the surgical tracheostomy (ST) technique in detail and that was the one which was routinely being followed for over half a century. The more modern method of percutaneous dilational technology (PDT) was described by Ciaglia *et al.* (2) formally in 1985. Since then, many renditions of Ciaglia's techniques have come to forefront, but none has been as popular as the original. In the carefully-selected

patient population PDT has a favorable safety profile and indeed carries distinct advantages over ST (3-6). As more intensivists and interventional pulmonologists become familiar with performing PDT, the use and applications of the procedure are likely to increase.

Procedural techniques

Patient selection is the key while deciding between ST and PDT (7). The contraindications of past such as thrombocytopenia, ongoing antiplatelet therapy, distorted neck anatomy due to body habitus, previous tracheostomies and malignancy are relative in hand of physician experienced



Figure 1 The Ciaglia Blue Rhino® kit by Cook Medical, a single dilator kit. Arrow points to the curved conical dilator.

with PDT. If a physician is still gaining experience in terms of PDT numbers then in scenarios mentioned earlier ST would be a better choice. Because PDT is an elective procedure, ideally it should not be performed in unstable patient population. In addition, if the appropriate anatomy for performing the procedure cannot be readily palpated at the bedside, ST should be considered instead. ST would also be a logical choice when large or arterial vessels are identified during survey ultrasonogram of the neck.

Currently, the modified Ciaglia method described by Bewsher *et al.* (8) is the most popular technique for performing PDT (9). Proper positioning of the patient is of paramount importance before starting the procedure and sedatives, analgesics along with neuromuscular agents are routinely used to achieve this target while keeping patient comfort in mind as well (7). The patient's neck should be extended as much as possible by placing a rolled towel or something similar between the shoulder blades. This is a sterile procedure and standard surgical sterilizations protocols should be observed. Patient's pre-oxygenation is attempted by turning the FIO₂ to 100%.

The site of incision is generally 2 fingerbreadths above the sternal notch or 1 fingerbreadth below the cricothyroid membrane. Vertical midline incision is made to minimize the risk of bleeding or damage to the surrounding anatomical structures. As tracheal stenosis due to injury to the cricoid cartilage or first tracheal ring has been reported, it is prudent to select a site between the 2nd and 3rd tracheal rings to avoid this complication (10). Lidocaine with norepinephrine is used as local anesthetic prior to beginning

any kind of instrumentation. The endotracheal tube (ETT) cuff is deflated, and the tube is retracted such that the cuff is immediately below the vocal cords. If bronchoscopy is used, the tube can be withdrawn under bronchoscopic guidance. The trachea is punctured between the 1st and 4th tracheal rings; the light source of bronchoscope, if used, can assist in identifying needle puncture site by serving as a guide. Needle puncture is followed by insertion of introducer sheath through which the guidewire is inserted and directed caudally into the distal airways. Introducer sheath is subsequently removed, and dilation process is then begun.

The originally-described method relies on application of a series of progressively-enlarging dilators; however, since Ciaglia's original description, many commercial kits have become available which have just one uniquely style dilator for progressive dilation (*Figure 1*). In this single-dilator technique, the trachea is dilated first by using the short punch dilator followed by the conical dilator (8). The tracheostomy tube is then loaded on the introducer dilator and passed into the trachea through the dilated stoma. Alternate techniques include the use of dilating forceps (11,12), translaryngeal "pull" technique (13), screw-type rotational dilator technique (14), and balloon dilator technique (15,16). A comparison of each technique is shown in *Table 1*.

Complications of PDT

Early complications of PDT described in the literature include creation of a false passage in the mediastinum, inadvertent loss of airway via extubation or decannulation, posterior membrane injury, pneumothorax, hypoxemia, and bleeding (17-19), with most of these complications being minor in nature. The most commonly described serious complication has been false passage, occurring in 13 reported cases and resulting in one death (18,19). It is notable that in the case series by Kost (17), no cases of false passage occurred, underscoring a potential advantage to bronchoscopic guidance. Inadvertent extubation, while theoretically a life-threatening complication, has generally been manageable via rapid re-intubation prior to continuing the procedure (17,19); no deaths were reported due to this complication. It is notable that inadvertent decannulation and bleeding, particularly bleeding requiring transfusion, are both unusual complications; Moe *et al.* (18) posit that this is related to the less extensive dissection and snug fit of the tracheostomy tube compared to ST.

Late complications of PDT include peristomal infection,

Table 1 Comparison of percutaneous dilational tracheostomy techniques

Technique	Describing publication	Description	Safety
Ciaglia multiple-dilator technique	Ciaglia <i>et al.</i>	Multiple dilators of increasing size passed over a wire to create a tract through which tracheostomy tube is placed	Appears to be preferred technique
Single dilator technique	Bewsher <i>et al.</i>	Single conical dilator replaces multiple dilators and progressively dilates tract	Limited evidence but appears equivalent to multiple dilator technique
Rotational dilator technique	Frova and Quintel	Single screw-type rotating dilator replaces multiple dilators and progressively dilates tract	Higher rate of procedure failure
Dilating forceps technique	Griggs <i>et al.</i>	Special dilating forceps are passed over a wire and used to dilate tract	Higher rate of major bleeding and perioperative complications
Balloon dilator technique	Zgoda and Berger	Dilating balloon is passed over a wire and inflated to dilate tract	Higher rate of minor complications
Trans-laryngeal technique	Fantoni and Ripamonti	Wire passed retrograde through trachea to exit the mouth; tracheostomy tube is attached and pulled through the mouth and out through the tracheal wall	Higher rate of procedure failure and major complications

subglottic stenosis, and excessive peristomal granulation tissue (17-19). Two cases of tracheoesophageal fistula were also seen (18,19). Most cases of peristomal infection have involved peristomal cellulitis and were adequately treated with antibiotics and local wound care; however, Kost describe a case of necrotizing cellulitis requiring debridement and resulting in loss of two tracheal rings (17); interestingly, this patient was still eventually successfully decannulated. Tracheal stenosis has, fortunately, been an uncommon complication, and symptomatic cases are only discussed in the series by Hill *et al.* (19). The treatments used for symptomatic stenoses is not described except for one case in which the patient underwent laser fulguration. Fortunately, the feared complication of tracheo-innominate fistula (20) was not observed in any of these three large series.

Procedural adjuncts

As patient safety is paramount for all medical and surgical procedures, multiple bedside technologies have been used with the goal of improving safety of the PDT procedure. Among these, the most common are bronchoscopy, bedside ultrasonography, and laryngeal mask airway (LMA) placement (7). The literature regarding each of these adjuncts is reviewed below.

Bronchoscopy

The primary rationale for using bronchoscopy during PDT is to provide direct visualization of needle puncture

site, thus reducing the chances of damage to posterior tracheal wall from the needle or dilators. Proper placement of the tracheostomy tube can also be ascertained. When compared with historical controls, patients undergoing bronchoscopy-assisted PDT did show a favorable rate of complications with only 3/500 having superficial damage to the posterior membrane and no episodes of pneumothorax or false passage (17); however, in the largest study directly comparing standard PDT to bronchoscopy-assisted PDT, the addition of bronchoscopy did not reduce the rate of complications and was associated with increased operational costs as well as repair costs from damaged bronchoscopes (21). In addition, a small study showed that bronchoscopy-assisted PDT resulted in a greater degree of hypercarbia related to procedure time than for PDT without bronchoscopy (22); however, there were no observed complications in this series. Further supporting the safety of bronchoscopy is the observation that even patients requiring high-frequency ventilation have shown stable oxygen requirements at 1 hour and 24-hour intervals after bronchoscopy was performed as part of PDT (23). Thus, while superiority of bronchoscopy-guided PDT has not been proven when compared to standard PDT, bronchoscopy is still a reasonable adjunct for performance of PDT.

Ultrasonography

The rationale for using bedside ultrasonography is two-fold; ultrasonography can confirm the clinician's estimation

of the underlying anatomy and guide the selection of an appropriate site, and it can reveal the presence of blood vessels that would increase the risk of procedural bleeding. Furthermore, ultrasonography can assist in gauging the depth of subcutaneous tissue leading to the anterior tracheal wall, providing a rough estimate to the operator about the needle depth for reaching from skin to trachea. Compared with standard PDT, ultrasound-guided PDT has in two comparative studies shown an improvement in first-pass success and in improving puncture accuracy (24,25); a third study showed comparable complication rates to bronchoscopy-guided PDT (26). Further benefits of pre-procedure and real-time ultrasonography described in case series have included identification of aberrant vessels for pre-operative ligation and prevention of cranial malposition of tracheostomy tubes (27). Due to technological constraints ultrasonography is not a good tool for visualization of the posterior tracheal wall and bronchoscope has an advantage over former in this regard.

LMA

Withdrawal of the ETT to the level of the vocal cords, as described by Ciaglia *et al.* (2), carries multiple potential risks, including accidental extubation, continued leak even with the cuff inflated, and inability to visualize the tracheal anatomy through the bronchoscope due to ETT position; thus, conversion of the airway to a LMA has been suggested as a way of avoiding these complications (28). In a 2018 Cochrane review, reviewers were able to find nine studies evaluating whether an LMA carries any advantage over an ETT for PDT (29). The median procedure time was shorter by about 1.5 min in the LMA group, while the risk of procedure failure, defined as conversion to an alternate procedure or abandonment of PDT, was about 3 times greater in the LMA group, with most failures resulted in completing the PDT procedure with an ETT. There was no significant difference in all-cause mortality, procedure-related mortality, or significant adverse events between the two groups. Evidence for all outcomes was of low to very low quality. At this point, there is insufficient evidence to recommend conversion to LMA for the purpose of PDT, although in patients where the surgical site cannot be adequately visualized through an ETT an LMA may still serve as a useful adjunct.

Comparison with ST

Multiple authors have performed systematic reviews of

available literature to answer the question of whether PDT is a safe alternative to ST for patients needing long-term airway management (3-5,30). Early reviews were mixed; while Freeman *et al.* (3) and Delaney *et al.* (4) find a significant decrease in stoma infections with PDT compared with ST and a decrease in bleeding and mortality with PDT compared with ST in the operating room, Higgins and Punthakee (30) find a concurrent increase in the risk of decannulation and obstruction with PDT compared to ST. A later systematic review by Putensen *et al.* (5) including techniques not previously available confirms the earlier findings of Freeman *et al.* and Delaney *et al.* but also finds an increased risk of difficult placement with PDT compared with ST. Furthermore, Putensen *et al.* fail to find an increased risk of late tracheal stenosis.

A few points regarding these findings merit further discussion. Regarding the increased risk of tracheostomy obstruction found by Higgins *et al.* (30), the authors posit that the difference “likely relates to the fact that the open technique allows the insertion of a tracheotomy tube with an inner and outer cannula that facilitates nursing.” With modern kits this point is irrelevant as modern PDT kits allow insertion of a tracheostomy with inner cannula. Both Delaney *et al.* (4) and Higgins *et al.* (30) note a particular difference in complication rates with ST is performed in the operating room. Studies have shown that transport-related mishaps occur with significant frequency (31,32). Although Putensen *et al.* (5) fail to show a difference between ST performed in the operating room and at the bedside, the possibility of transport-related patient complications must be considered.

In the most recent, most comprehensive review to date performed for the Cochrane Collaboration, Brass *et al.* (6) find moderate-quality evidence that PDT compared with ST reduces the risk of stoma infection with a relative risk of 0.24 (95% CI, 0.15–0.37). They also find low-quality evidence of a reduced risk of unfavorable scarring, although the wide confidence interval for this outcome precludes an estimate of the magnitude of effect. The reviewers find no difference in the rate of death, intraoperative or postoperative serious complications, major bleeding, or tube occlusion/decannulation.

Regarding specific PDT methods, a meta-analysis by Cabrini *et al.* (33) reveals a higher rate of procedure failure with the translaryngeal technique and rotational dilator technique and a higher rate of major complications with the translaryngeal technique when compared with the Ciaglia technique. The analysis also reveals a higher rate of minor

complications with the dilating forceps technique and the balloon dilation technique when compared with the Ciaglia technique. While Cabrini et al only find sufficient evidence to recommend against the translaryngeal and rotational dilator techniques, Putensen *et al.* (5) pool the Ciaglia and modified Ciaglia techniques together and find an increased risk of major bleeding with the dilating forceps technique. Furthermore, Brass *et al.* (6) find a significantly increased risk of perioperative complications with the dilating forceps technique compared to the Ciaglia technique. Taken as a whole, the data suggest that either the Ciaglia technique or its single-dilator modification may be preferable to other methods of performing PDT.

The issue of procedural cost also deserves special mention. Moe *et al.* (18) suggest that the cost of PDT compared to ST may be greater due to the need for bronchoscopic equipment; the authors, however, base their data on costs in Switzerland with a ST kit immediately available for every case. In contrast, Higgins *et al.* (30) find PDT to cost, on average, \$459 less than ST among studies that mention cost. A United States-based study by Freeman *et al.* (34) deserves special mention. The authors, who routinely use bronchoscopic guidance for PDT cases in the study, find a cost difference of \$1,569 in favor of PDT compared with ST. Thus, while the economic analysis seems to be highly dependent on local factors, in some regions, PDT may provide significant cost savings over ST.

Conclusions

PDT has emerged as a useful alternative to ST in critically ill patients needing long-term mechanical ventilation or airway support. In patients with non-emergent needs and readily-palpable tracheal anatomy, PDT can be easily performed at the bedside by intensive care staff, obviating the need for patient transport and operating room time. While procedural adjuncts have been described, none has been definitively shown to offer a measurable benefit, and it is reasonable to proceed without these adjuncts if they are not available. The Ciaglia and modified Ciaglia techniques appear to be the preferred methods of placement. In appropriately-selected patients, the safety profile of PDT compares favorably with ST and may offer a cost savings depending on local economic factors.

Acknowledgments

None.

Footnote

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

References

1. Jackson C. Tracheotomy. *Laryngoscope* 1909;19:285-90.
2. Ciaglia P, Firsching R, Syniec C. Elective percutaneous dilatational tracheostomy. A new simple bedside procedure; preliminary report. *Chest* 1985;87:715-9.
3. Freeman BD, Isabella K, Lin N, et al. A Meta-analysis of Prospective Trials Comparing Percutaneous and Surgical Tracheostomy in Critically Ill Patients. *Chest* 2000;118:1412-8.
4. Delaney A, Bagshaw SM, Nalos M. Percutaneous dilatational tracheostomy versus surgical tracheostomy in critically ill patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Crit Care* 2006;10:R55.
5. Putensen C, Theuerkauf N, Guenther U, et al. Percutaneous and surgical tracheostomy in critically ill adult patients: a meta-analysis. *Crit Care* 2014;18:544.
6. Brass P, Hellmich M, Ladra A, et al. Percutaneous techniques versus surgical techniques for tracheostomy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2016;7:CD008045.
7. Cools-Lartigue J, Aboalsaud A, et al. Evolution of percutaneous dilatational tracheostomy--a review of current techniques and their pitfalls. *World J Surg* 2013;37:1633-46.
8. Bewsher MS, Adams AM, Clarke CW, et al. Evaluation of a new percutaneous dilatational tracheostomy set apparatus. *Anaesthesia* 2001;56:859-64.
9. Kluge S, Baumann HJ, Maier C, et al. Tracheostomy in the intensive care unit: a nationwide survey. *Anesth Analg* 2008;107:1639-43.
10. Sarper A, Ayten A, Eser I, et al. Tracheal stenosis after tracheostomy or intubation: review with special regard to cause and management. *Tex Heart Inst J* 2005;32:154-8.
11. Schachner A, Ovil Y, Sidi J, et al. Percutaneous tracheostomy—A new method. *Crit Care Med* 1989;17:1052-6.
12. Griggs WM, Worthley LI, Gilligan JE, et al. A simple percutaneous tracheostomy technique. *Surg Gynecol*

- Obstet 1990;170:543-5.
13. Fantoni A, Ripamonti D. A non-derivative, non-surgical tracheostomy: the translaryngeal method. *Intensive Care Med* 1997;23:386-92.
 14. Frova G, Quintel M. A new simple method for percutaneous tracheostomy: controlled rotating dilation. A preliminary report. *Intensive Care Med* 2002;28:299-303.
 15. Zgoda MA, Berger R. Balloon-facilitated percutaneous dilational tracheostomy tube placement: preliminary report of a novel technique. *Chest* 2005;128:3688-90.
 16. Cianchi G, Zagli G, Bonizzoli M, et al. Comparison between single-step and balloon dilatational tracheostomy in intensive care unit: a single-centre, randomized controlled study. *Br J Anaesth* 2010;104:728-32.
 17. Kost KM. Endoscopic percutaneous dilatational tracheostomy: a prospective evaluation of 500 consecutive cases. *Laryngoscope* 2005;115:1-30.
 18. Moe KS, Stoeckli SJ, Schmid S, et al. Percutaneous tracheostomy: a comprehensive evaluation. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol* 1999;108:384-91.
 19. Hill BB, Zweng TN, Maley RH, et al. Percutaneous dilational tracheostomy: report of 356 cases. *J Trauma* 1996;41:238-43; discussion 243-4.
 20. Mulder DS, Rubush JL. Complications of tracheostomy: relationship to long term ventilatory assistance. *J Trauma* 1969;9:389-402.
 21. Jackson LS, Davis JW, Kaups KL, et al. Percutaneous tracheostomy: to bronch or not to bronch--that is the question. *J Trauma* 2011;71:1553-6.
 22. Reilly PM, Sing RF, Giberson FA, et al. Hypercarbia during tracheostomy: a comparison of percutaneous endoscopic, percutaneous Doppler, and standard surgical tracheostomy. *Intensive Care Med* 1997;23:859-64.
 23. Shah S, Morgan P. Percutaneous dilation tracheostomy during high-frequency oscillatory ventilation. *Crit Care Med* 2002;30:1762-4.
 24. Dinh VA, Farshidpanah S, Lu S, et al. Real-time Sonographically Guided Percutaneous Dilatational Tracheostomy Using a Long-Axis Approach Compared to the Landmark Technique. *J Ultrasound Med* 2014;33:1407-15.
 25. Rudas M, Seppelt I, Herkes R, et al. Traditional landmark versus ultrasound guided tracheal puncture during percutaneous dilatational tracheostomy in adult intensive care patients: a randomised controlled trial. *Crit Care* 2014;18:514.
 26. Gobatto AL, Besen BA, Tierno PF, et al. Comparison between ultrasound- and bronchoscopy-guided percutaneous dilational tracheostomy in critically ill patients: a retrospective cohort study. *J Crit Care* 2015;30:220.e13-7.
 27. Rudas M, Seppelt I. Safety and efficacy of ultrasonography before and during percutaneous dilatational tracheostomy in adult patients: a systematic review. *Crit Care Resusc* 2012;14:297-301.
 28. Dexter TJ. The Laryngeal Mask Airway: A Method to Improve Visualisation of the Trachea and Larynx during Fiberoptic Assisted Percutaneous Tracheostomy. *Anaesth Intensive Care* 1994;22:35-9.
 29. Strametz R, Bergold MN, Weberschock T. Laryngeal mask airway versus endotracheal tube for percutaneous dilatational tracheostomy in critically ill adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2018;11:CD009901.
 30. Higgins KM, Punthakee X. Meta-analysis comparison of open versus percutaneous tracheostomy. *Laryngoscope* 2007;117:447-54.
 31. Smith I, Fleming S, Cernaianu A. Mishaps during transport from the intensive care unit. *Crit Care Med* 1990;18:278-81.
 32. Venkatesgowda PM, Rao SM, Mutkule DP, et al. Unexpected events occurring during the intra-hospital transport of critically ill ICU patients. *Indian J Crit Care Med* 2014;18:354-7.
 33. Cabrini L, Monti G, Landoni G, et al. Percutaneous tracheostomy, a systematic review. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2012;56:270-81.
 34. Freeman BD, Isabella K, Cobb JP, et al. A prospective, randomized study comparing percutaneous with surgical tracheostomy in critically ill patients. *Crit Care Med* 2001;29:926-30.

doi: 10.21037/shc.2019.11.13

Cite this article as: Ghori UK, Chambers DM. Percutaneous dilational tracheostomy: current techniques and evidence of safety. *Shanghai Chest* 2020;4:22.